

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/913,139	02/09/1998	HANSWALTER ZENTGRAF	8484-029-999	5407
759	90 10/21/2002			
PENNIE & EDMONDS		EXAMINER		
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100362711			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	00
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/913,139

Patrick J. Nolan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1644

Zentgraf et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the cor			
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days wi and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma ne application to become ABANDONED (35	ll be considered timely. ailing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 15, 20	002	·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final.	•		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	is/a	are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/	are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 4		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>		_ is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ object	cted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approve	d b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign page	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application	n No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
-	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pag	per No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Applicati			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Serial Number: 08/913,139

Art unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is a 371 of PCT/DE96/00369.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-15-02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103[©] and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 3. Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Evans et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,840,834 (A), both of record, for reasons set forth in Paper No. 23.
- 4. Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

Serial Number: 08/913,139

Art unit: 1644

unpatentable over Evans et al., in view of U.S. Patent 5,840,834 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Sevier et al., (of record,) for reasons set forth in Paper No. 23.

Applicant's arguments filed 7-23-02 have been fully considered but are not found persuaive.

Applicant argues that neither Evans et al., nor the `834 patent teach antibodies to a 6-18 string of histidine residues, and that Evans et al., would not motivate one of skill in the art to make antibodies to a string 6-18 histidine residues.

However, Evans et al., specifically teaches the advantages of making antibodies to metal-binding peptides, not to just their His-Asp-His-Asp-His metal binding peptides (see page 237, last full paragraph in particular). The purpose of the `834 patent was to disclose that other mbp's containing a string of 6-18 histidines were available to make antibodies to, and were previously used in IMAC.

- 5. Applicant is notified claim 4 is allowable.
- 6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this

Serial Number: 08/913,139

Art unit: 1644

application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner, Group 1640
October 18, 2002

4